REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 2, 5-11, 14-20 and 23-49 are pending. Claims 1, 2, 5-11, 14-20 and 23-49 stand rejected.

Claims 1,9, 10, 18, 19, 27, 30-32, 36-39, and 42 have been amended. Claims 29, 34, 35, and 43 have been cancelled. No claims have been added. Support for the amendments is found in the specification, the drawings, and in the claims as originally filed. Applicant submits that the amendments do not add new matter.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-2, 5-11, 14-20 and 23-49 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,697,851 B1 of Althaus, et al. ("Althaus"), and further in view of U.S. Patent Publication No.: 2004/0249927 A1 of Pezutti ("Pezutti").

Applicant has amended claim 1 to particularly point out that the presentation information is automatically displayed upon network initialization through the DHCP on the client processing system without any input action from a user of the client processing system, when the client processing system uses the network configuration information.

The Examiner stated that "Althaus is silent regarding the limitations of the network configuration information having added to it, at least one of presentation information, or an address representative of said presentation information formatted in accordance with the DHCP, such that said presentation information is presented through the DHCP when the client processing system uses the network configuration information" (p. 3, Office Action, 07/26/05) As such, Althaus also fails to disclose that the presentation information is automatically displayed through the DHCP on the client processing system without any input action from a

user of the client processing system, upon network initialization when the client processing system uses the network configuration information, as recited in amended claim 1.

Pezutti discloses assigning IP addresses to devices to access the network. More specifically, Pezutti discloses

AN requests and receives authorization for the discovered object from SR which identifies P-SN. INAP-RS returns the "DHCP Offer" to MTA which next sends DHCP Req message and the INAP-RS must request local IP address assignment that provides access under terms of the Interconnect Agreement. INAP-RS requests registration from P-SN and returns a new DHCP ACK response combining information from P-SN providing FQDNs of device and CA, etc. with local addresses. INAP-RS registers the local address with the host DNS.

(Pezutti, [0231]) (emphasis added)

Thus, Pezutti merely discloses providing FQDNs ("Fully Qualified Domain Names") of the device with local IP addresses that provides access to the network, in contrast to providing a presentation information that is automatically displayed upon network initialization through the DHCP on the client processing system without any input action from a user of the client processing system, when the client processing system uses the network configuration information, as recited in amended claim 1.

Thus, neither Althaus, nor Pezutti discloses, teaches, or suggests such limitations of amended claim 1.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that amended claim 1 is not obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) over Althaus in view of Pezutti.

Because amended independent claims 9, 10, 18, 19, and 27 contain at least the discussed above limitations of amended claim1, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 9, 10, 18, 19, and 27 are likewise not obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) over Althaus in view of Pezutti.

Given that claims 2, 5-8, 11, 14-17, 20, 23-26, 28, 33, 36-42, and 44-49 depend, directly or indirectly, from respective amended independent claims 1, 9, 10, 18, 19, and 27, and add

09/765,835 12 of 13 4860P2596

additional limitations, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 2, 5-8, 11, 14-17, 20, 23-26, 28, 33, 36-42, and 44-49 are likewise not obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) over Althaus in view of Pezutti.

B.S.T.&Z.

It is respectfully submitted that in view of the amendments and arguments set forth herein, the applicable rejections and objections have been overcome. If there are any additional charges, please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any fee deficiency that may be due.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR ZAFMAN LLP

Date: 10/26/2005

James C. Scheller, Jr.

31,195

12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90025 (408) 720-8300

09/765,835 13 of 13 4860P2596